Contribution ID: c2cf0bd0-768d-4e4b-b9ab-758973961508

Date: 21/03/2022 15:20:36

# Targeted consultation on options to enhance the suitability and appropriateness assessments

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

#### Introduction

Following the <u>2020 capital markets union (CMU) action plan</u>, the Commission is preparing a retail investment strategy, which aims to take a holistic view of investor protection rules. One of the key objectives of the CMU is to make the EU an even safer place for individuals to save and invest long-term and to increase participation of retail investors in capital markets. To this end, the Commission is looking at possible ways to increase the level of trust that retail investors have in capital markets.

Investors should be empowered and better supported to be able to identify investments that take into account their needs, objectives and constraints. Digital innovation is expected to enable new and more efficient means for investors to understand the markets and invest in an informed manner.

In the answers received to the 2021 public consultation on the Commission's retail investment strategy for Europe, many stakeholders, on the industry and consumers side, called to simplify, improve, automate and standardise the way investors' profiles are currently assessed. Some have also expressed support for more focus on the overall investor portfolio composition rather than on individual products. Respondents also highlighted the need to adjust the different investor assessments to make them better adapted to the online environment, as well as the importance of improving data quality of the suitability and appropriateness assessments. Some also recommended anticipating the evolution of robot-assisted advice or fully automated advice. Finally, some also requested more independence in the suitability assessment process.

Taking stock of these results, the Commission's Services are currently exploring different ways to improve the suitability and appropriateness regimes to address the above-mentioned issues. The Commission' services are assessing, *inter alia*, the idea of whether and how all retail investors, and not only wealth management clients, might benefit from a new suitability assessment that could provide them with more support along their investment journey to better achieve their investment objectives and to enhance their participation in the capital markets.

By means of this targeted consultation, the Commission Services intend to complement the 2021 public consultation exploring the feasibility of a new retail investor-centric assessment to improve the current suitability and appropriateness tests. Not only might such an approach modify the current MIFID II/IDD suitability and appropriateness tests with the view to no longer differentiate among the various investment services offered to retail investors, but it might rather replace the current "per product" approach with a new element, a personalised asset allocation strategy.

The new retail client suitability rules, together with the personalised asset allocation strategy, would represent a personal investment plan intended to help retail investors achieve their defined investment objectives. Its main goal would be to provide retail investors with the best possible expected returns, taking into account their personal circumstances and risk tolerance. While the personalised asset allocation strategy would provide concrete guidance on optimal investment allocations, the investor would remain free to choose the products it wants to invest in.

The personalised asset allocation strategy could achieve this objective by setting out an investment plan that relied on an optimal diversification of various asset classes considered fit for retail investors. This could include a defined (in % terms for instance) exposure to any financial instruments and products distributed to retail investors, including but not limited to, shares, bonds, funds, structured products (including insurance based investment products). The personalised asset allocation strategy could identify, on an overall portfolio basis, the appropriate risk-return for each individual versus profile with a view to achieving the investor's investment goals. However, retail investors should ultimately remain free to take autonomous investment decisions, even where they do not align with the allocation strategy.

The retail client assessment, together with the personalised asset allocation strategy, could be provided and recorded in a structured and machine-readable format for future reference by the retail investor, financial intermediaries (with clients' consent) and competent authorities. Introducing this new approach might increase the level of intelligibility and comparability of investments with the purpose of limiting risks of mis-selling or ill-advised investments.

A key element of this new tool could be the transferability (or portability) of the client assessment (enhanced with a personalised asset allocation strategy) with any financial intermediary the client chooses, including on-line brokers and platforms which would allow investors to easily switch between or using multiple brokers/financial intermediaries. The question of the transferability of the client assessment will be specifically consulted in the context of the Commission's Open Finance framework.

Subject to the portability of a personalised asset allocation, this consultation aims to assess to what extent any subsequent intermediaries should be allowed to depart from the asset allocation and under what conditions (e.g. where there are objective reasons to justify a change, including in the case of a material change in personal circumstances of the retail investor).

#### Responding to this consultation and follow up

In line with the Commission's stated objective of "an economy that works for people", this targeted consultation aims to gather stakeholders' views on a possible enhancement of the current suitability and appropriateness regimes. This consultation does not prejudge any outcome nor prevent the Commission from considering alternative options.

The consultation covers the following points

- A. an enhanced client assessment regime General
- B. a personalised asset allocation strategy

Responses to open questions are limited to 5000 characters (including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method), but you can also complement your answers by uploading one or several additional document(s) in the last section of the questionnaire called "Additional information".

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact <u>fisma-suitability-assessments@ec.europa.eu</u>.

- this consultation
- the consultation document

\*Language of my contribution

- retail financial services
- the protection of personal data regime for this consultation

# **About you**

Bulgarian

Croatian

Czech

Danish

Dutch

English

Slovenian

Spanish

	Estonian
0	Finnish
0	French
0	German
0	Greek
0	Hungarian
0	Irish
•	Italian
0	Latvian
0	Lithuanian
0	Maltese
0	Polish
0	Portuguese
0	Romanian
0	Slovak

Swedish
*I am giving my contribution as
Academic/research institution
Business association
Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
* <b>- - - - - - - - - -</b>
*First name
Gianluigi
*Surname
Gugliotta
*Email (this won't be published)
assosim@assosim.it
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
Associazione Intermediari Mercati Finanziari - ASSOSIM
*Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

### \*Country of origin

)  -	Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.							
10	0	Afghanistan	0	Djibouti	0	Libya	0	Saint Martin
	0	Åland Islands	0	Dominica	0	Liechtenstein	0	Saint Pierre and Miquelon
	0	Albania	0	Dominican		Lithuania		Saint Vincent
				Republic				and the
								Grenadines
	0	Algeria		Ecuador	0	Luxembourg		Samoa
	0	American Samoa		Egypt	0	Macau		San Marino
	0	Andorra	0	El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
								Príncipe
	0	Angola		Equatorial Guinea	1 <sup>©</sup>	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
	0	Anguilla	0	Eritrea		Malaysia		Senegal
	0	Antarctica		Estonia	0	Maldives		Serbia
	0	Antigua and	0	Eswatini	0	Mali		Seychelles
		Barbuda						
	0	Argentina		Ethiopia	0	Malta		Sierra Leone
	0	Armenia	0	Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands		Singapore
	0	Aruba		Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
	0	Australia		Fiji	0	Mauritania		Slovakia
	0	Austria	0	Finland		Mauritius		Slovenia
	0	Azerbaijan	0	France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands
	0	Bahamas	0	French Guiana		Mexico		Somalia
	0	Bahrain	0	French Polynesia		Micronesia		South Africa
	0	Bangladesh		French Southern	0	Moldova		South Georgia
				and Antarctic				and the South
				Lands				Sandwich
								Islands
	0	Barbados	0	Gabon	0	Monaco		South Korea
	0	Belarus		Georgia	0	Mongolia		South Sudan

	Belgium	0	Germany	0	Montenegro	0	Spain
	Belize		Ghana		Montserrat	0	Sri Lanka
	Benin		Gibraltar	0	Morocco		Sudan
	Bermuda		Greece	0	Mozambique		Suriname
	Bhutan		Greenland	0	Myanmar/Burma		Svalbard and
							Jan Mayen
	Bolivia		Grenada	0	Namibia	0	Sweden
	Bonaire Saint		Guadeloupe		Nauru	0	Switzerland
	Eustatius and						
	Saba						
	Bosnia and		Guam		Nepal		Syria
	Herzegovina						
0	Botswana	0	Guatemala	0	Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
	Brazil		Guinea		New Zealand		Tanzania
	British Indian	0	Guinea-Bissau		Nicaragua	0	Thailand
	Ocean Territory						
0	British Virgin	0	Guyana	0	Niger	0	The Gambia
	Islands						
0	Brunei	0	Haiti	0	Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
0	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and		Niue	0	Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
0	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras	0	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi	0	Hong Kong	0	Northern	0	Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia	0	Hungary		North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
0	Cameroon		Iceland		North Macedonia		Tunisia
	Canada	0	India		Norway	0	Turkey
0	Cape Verde	0	Indonesia	0	Oman	0	Turkmenistan
0	Cayman Islands	0	Iran		Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands
	Central African		Iraq		Palau		Tuvalu
	Republic						
	Chad		Ireland		Palestine		Uganda

0	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama		Ukraine
0	China	0	Israel	0	Papua New Guinea	0	United Arab Emirates
0	Christmas Island	0	Italy	0	Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
0	Clipperton	0	Jamaica	0	Peru	0	United States
0	Cocos (Keeling)	0	Japan	0	Philippines	0	United States
	Islands		очра::		рр		Minor Outlying
							Islands
0	Colombia		Jersey	0	Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
0	Comoros		Jordan		Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
0	Congo		Kazakhstan		Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands		Kenya		Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica		Kiribati		Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire		Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
0	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania	0	Vietnam
0	Cuba		Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
							Futuna
0	Curaçao		Laos		Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus		Latvia		Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
0	Czechia	0	Lebanon		Saint Helena	0	Zambia
					Ascension and		
					Tristan da Cunha		
	Democratic		Lesotho		Saint Kitts and		Zimbabwe
	Republic of the				Nevis		
0	Congo Denmark	0	Liberia	0	Saint Lucia		
	Denmark		Liberia		Saint Lucia		
* Field	of activity or sector	or (	if applicable)				
	Insurance						
V	Investment service	es					
	New Technologie	S					
	Pension provision	1					
	Investment mana	gei	ment (e.g. hedge f	un	ds, private equity	fur	ds, venture
	capital funds, money market funds, securities)						
	Market infrastructure operation (e.g. CCPs, CSDs, Stock exchanges)						

Social entrepreneurship	
Other	
Not applicable	
Please specify what type of investment services:  Investment advice Brokerage Other	

\* Please specify what type of other investment services:

investment services and financial markets

The Commission will publish all contributions to this targeted consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') is always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

#### \*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

# Anonymous

Only the organisation type is published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your field of activity and your contribution will be published as received. The name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

# Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

# A. An enhanced client assessment regime – General

The new regime would be built around two parts: a first part focused on assessing, via a unique standardised questionnaire, the retail investor's investment objectives, risk tolerance and personal constraints and a second part dedicated to establishing a basic but personalised asset allocation strategy for the retail investor's investment portfolio.

Question 1. Do you consider that a unique and standardised retail investors' assessment regime, as described above, applicable to all investment services and enhanced with the provision of a personal asset allocation strategy, could address the weaknesses of the current suitability and appropriateness regimes?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

#### Please provide a detailed answer to question 1:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Riteniamo che l'adozione di un questionario unico standardizzato per l'assessment sul cliente retail non sia una proposta facilmente praticabile. Peraltro la proposta della Commissione di prevedere tale questionario per la prestazione di tutti i servizi di investimento non risulta in linea né con la normativa (adeguatezza vs appropriatezza) né con le caratteristiche di ciascun servizio (servizi esecutivi vs consulenza e gestione di portafogli) che di norma valorizzano in maniera differente le risposte acquisite mediante il questionario.

In via più generale, occorre considerare che in questi anni gli intermediari hanno fatto rilevanti investimenti per costruire un complesso e articolato sistema che integra il processo di profilazione della clientela con il catalogo prodotti (per gli aspetti di product governance) e i sistemi di portfolio selection/evaluation. È su tale processo di profilazione che si basa la specificità del modello di servizio di ciascun intermediario e sarebbe quindi assai difficile ipotizzare che l'assessment effettuato da un intermediario possa costituire il punto di partenza unico per tutti gli altri intermediari. Peraltro, non è da sottovalutare il rischio che l'intermediario assume nell'adozione tout court di un profilo predisposto da altri intermediari, posto che lo stesso resta responsabile verso il cliente cui presta il servizio.

Lo stesso cliente retail potrebbe manifestare interesse per tipologie differenti di prodotti – anche caratterizzati da diversa rischiosità – e voler investire differenti porzioni di patrimonio a seconda del tipo di intermediario con cui si rapporta. Il profilo unico assegnato da un intermediario al cliente impedirebbe agli altri intermediari l'offerta di prodotti non in linea con tale profilo, penalizzando alla fine anche il cliente.

Inoltre la definizione di un profilo unico soggetto a portabilità rappresenterebbe per gli intermediari anche un tema di natura commerciale: il patrimonio informativo sul cliente, ricostruito nel tempo anche sulla base della complessiva operatività dello stesso, diverrebbe patrimonio comune del mercato annullando ogni vantaggio competitivo, appiattendo la qualità dei servizi offerti e magari inducendo l'intermediario a delineare profili meno dettagliati/precisi.

Un altro aspetto particolarmente problematico sarebbe rappresentato dalla necessità di aggiornamento periodico del profilo unico: difficile ipotizzare a chi possa essere attribuita tale incombenza, se al primo intermediario che ha stilato il profilo o ai successivi intermediari cui il cliente potrebbe rivolgersi e che – come sopra anticipato – potrebbero adottare al proprio interno criteri differenti di valutazione (in funzione del tipo di business prestato e della tipologia di prodotti trattati) e avere quindi notevoli difficoltà nell' aggiornamento di un profilo costruito secondo parametri non necessariamente convergenti.

# Question 2. Do you think a new retail client assessment (enhanced with a personalised asset allocation strategy) and its transferability could bring benefits and opportunities to retail investors and financial intermediaries?

- Yes, it could bring them benefits and opportunities
- No, it would not bring them specific benefit
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

## Please explain your answers to question 2:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Vedi sopra risposta a Q1.
Question 3. Should retail investors be able to transfer the results of their
assessment together with their personalised asset allocation strategy to brokers/financial intermediaries of their choosing in order to facilitate
switching between or using multiple brokers/financial intermediaries and
generally enhance the investor experience?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 3:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Vedi sopra risposta a Q1.
Question 4. Would you see any drawbacks that could emerge from the
creation and use of such a new suitability assessment applicable to a
investment services (including its sharing/portability if any) for retain
investors and financial intermediaries?
Yes
O No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

# Please explain your answer to question 4:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Ved	di sopra risposta a Q1.
	tion 5. Who should prepare the clients' assessment and their asset
	Ition strategy?
	Any financial intermediary selected by the retail investor
	An independent function within the financial intermediary selected by the retail nvestor
© <i>p</i>	An independent financial intermediary selected by the retail investor
0 (	Other (e.g. public entity)
5000 d	e explain your answer to question 5:  character(s) maximum  g spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Pei	r le ragioni espresse nella risposta alla domanda 1, riteniamo che l'assessment debba essere svolto da ni intermediario con cui il cliente ha il rapporto.
inves	tion 6. What should be the key components of a standardised personal tment plan?
	A description of the investor
	A description of duties and responsibilities of the investment adviser drawing up the personal investment plan, custody arrangements and the duties of the client to signal changes in her personal circumstances
t	Procedures and reviews that are necessary to keep the IPS topical and upodate
	nyestment chiectives

Investment constraints

Technical guidelines specifying technical aspects on how the investment should be carried out, such as permissible use of leverage or derivatives;	
exclusion of specific types of assets from investment, if any	
ESG factors, such as specific types of assets to be excluded from investment	ıts
Evaluation and review	
Rules on identifying strategic asset allocation – including the baseline	
allocation of portfolio assets to asset classes	
Rebalancing – policies on rebalancing asset class weights	
Please explain your answers to question 6:	
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
Question 7. What are the main investment objectives and constraints tha	<b>+</b>
Question 7. What are the main investment objectives and constraints that should be addressed in a personal investment plan?	t
Question 7. What are the main investment objectives and constraints tha should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms,	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms, net of fees	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms, net of fees  Constraints: Liquidity – expected investor outlays, etc.	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms, net of fees  Constraints: Liquidity – expected investor outlays, etc.  Time horizon	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms, net of fees  Constraints: Liquidity – expected investor outlays, etc.  Time horizon  Tax situation	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms, net of fees  Constraints: Liquidity – expected investor outlays, etc.  Time horizon  Tax situation  Legal and Regulatory factors, if any	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms, net of fees  Constraints: Liquidity – expected investor outlays, etc.  Time horizon  Tax situation  Legal and Regulatory factors, if any  Unique investor circumstances, e.g., ethical or environmental preferences  Please explain your answers to question 7:  5000 character(s) maximum	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms, net of fees  Constraints: Liquidity – expected investor outlays, etc.  Time horizon  Tax situation  Legal and Regulatory factors, if any  Unique investor circumstances, e.g., ethical or environmental preferences  Please explain your answers to question 7:	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms, net of fees  Constraints: Liquidity – expected investor outlays, etc.  Time horizon  Tax situation  Legal and Regulatory factors, if any  Unique investor circumstances, e.g., ethical or environmental preferences  Please explain your answers to question 7:  5000 character(s) maximum	t
should be addressed in a personal investment plan?  Please select as many answers as you like  Return objectives: Long-term investment return per year, in nominal terms, net of fees  Constraints: Liquidity – expected investor outlays, etc.  Time horizon  Tax situation  Legal and Regulatory factors, if any  Unique investor circumstances, e.g., ethical or environmental preferences  Please explain your answers to question 7:  5000 character(s) maximum	t

# Question 8. Storage and accessibility of the new suitability assessment, including the asset allocation strategy.

#### Do you agree with the following statement?

All data in the suitability assessment and the personalised asset allocation strategy (the personal investment plan) should be stored electronically and, subject to the client's consent, the investment plan personal should be accessible to all financial intermediaries that the client employs ("open finance").

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

# Please explain your answer to question 8:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Siamo favorevoli all'open finance, laddove questa sia una scelta di business adottata dall'intermediario e supportata dal consenso del cliente interessato.

#### Question 9. How often should the client's assessment and asset allocation strategy be updated?

A personal investment plan should be reviewed regularly in order to ensure that it remains consistent with the client's investment objectives and constraints. A personal investment plan should also be reviewed as soon as a financial intermediary becomes aware of a material change in the client's circumstances. A client may request an update of her personal investment plan when her objectives, time horizon, personal circumstances of liquidity needs change.

#### Question 9.1 When the investor is NOT under advice:

Please select as many answers as you like

- a. once per year
- b. upon significant changes in the retail investor's personal circumstances or objectives, communicated by the investor to its financial intermediary

<ul> <li>c. upon suggestion of the financial intermediary selected by the investor, subject to providing the investor with any necessary written justification evidencing the need for an update, and subject to the investor's agreement + duly stored</li> <li>d. other</li> </ul> Please explain your answers to question 9.1:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Vedi sopra risposta a Q1.
Question 9.2 When the investor is under advice/portfolio management:  Please select as many answers as you like
<ul> <li>a. once per year</li> <li>b. upon significant changes in the retail investor's personal circumstances or objectives, communicated by the investor to its financial intermediary</li> <li>c. at the initiative of the financial intermediary providing the advice and subject to written justifications evidencing the improvement, communicated to the investor and duly stored</li> <li>d. other</li> </ul>
Please explain your answers to question 9.2:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Vedi sopra risposta a Q1.

Question 10. Please provide us with an estimate of the necessary costs to set-up and update this possible new client assessment (including the personalised asset allocation strategy) in a structured and machine-readable format as well as for its storage in a way accessible for future reference by the retail investor and competent authorities:

	Estimate (in €)
One off costs	
Ongoing costs	

# Please explain your answer to question 10 and provide a breakdown of the most important cost components:

Question 11. Please provide us with a cost comparison between the costs associated to this possible new client assessment regime (including the personalised asset allocation strategy) in and your current costs associated to compliance with the current suitability and appropriateness regimes?

	Estimate (in €)
Your current costs associated to compliance with the current suitability and appropriateness regimes	
Estimate costs associated to compliance with the possible new suitability assessment regime (including the personalised asset allocation strategy)	

5000 character(s) r	
ncluding spaces and	d line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Juestion 12. I	On you consider that the new client assessment regime would
	Do you consider that the new client assessment regime would
Illow material	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account
Illow material	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account
llow material he standardis	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account
llow material he standardis	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once
Illow material he standardis he initial sunk	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once
Illow material he standardis he initial sunk Yes No	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?
Illow material he standardis he initial sunk  Yes No	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once
Illow material he standardis he initial sunk  Yes No Don't know	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?  w / no opinion / not applicable
Illow material he standardis he initial sunk  Yes No Don't know	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?
he standardis he initial sunk  Yes  No  Don't know	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?  W / no opinion / not applicable  your answer to question 12:
he standardis he initial sunk Yes No Don't know	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?  W / no opinion / not applicable  your answer to question 12:
he standardis he initial sunk Yes No Don't know	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?  w / no opinion / not applicable  your answer to question 12:
he standardis he initial sunk Yes No Don't know	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?  w / no opinion / not applicable  your answer to question 12:
he standardis he initial sunk Yes No Don't know	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?  W / no opinion / not applicable  your answer to question 12:
he standardis he initial sunk Yes No Don't know	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?  w / no opinion / not applicable  your answer to question 12:
Allow material The standardis The initial sunk Yes No Don't know	cost savings for financial intermediaries taking into account sed and single nature of the possible assessment regime, once a costs are absorbed?  W / no opinion / not applicable  your answer to question 12:

A personalised asset allocation strategy would be the main output of the new client-centric assessment carried out by a financial intermediary. It would represent a basic investment framework for achieving the retail investor's investment objectives and aim to provide the investor with maximum returns in view of its personal circumstances, while exposing the investor to an optimal amount of risk. This would be achieved by setting out a unique plan for exposure (in % terms for instance) to an optimal diversification of broad asset classes (e.g. fixed income, equity, commodities, etc.) and set the right risk-return profile for the retail investor's investment goals.

The rules on asset class categorisation could feature a varying level of details and granularity. For example, the legislation could establish very general asset classes across which diversification should be ensured (e.g. equity, bonds, commodities, real estate, private equity, hedge funds) or it could foresee or allow for a creation of more detailed 'sub-asset classes' (government bonds vs. corporate bonds, high yield vs. investment grade bonds, large cap vs. small cap shares, etc.).

This personalised asset allocation strategy could then be made portable and transferable across financial intermediaries that the retail investor chooses to interact with. It should then be determined whether and to what extent financial intermediaries should be allowed to depart from this personalised asset allocation strategy and under what conditions.

Question	13.	Should	the	rules	on	per	sonalise	ed a	asset	allocation	stra	tegy
foresee s	tand	lardised	inve	stor	profi	iles	based o	on I	retail	investors'	pers	onal
constrain	ts, ri	sk/returr	1 арр	etite	and	obje	ectives?					

	Voc
$\sim$	Y es

No

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

# Please provide a detailed answer to question 13:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Vedi sopra risposta a Q1.		

# Question 14. Which elements should form the basis for distinguishing between asset classes within the asset allocation strategy?

Risk
Return
Paired correlation with other asset classes
Additional criteria

Please select as many answers as you like

additional criteria if any:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 15. Exposure to assets, as set out in the asset allocation strategy, could be achieved either by investing directly in securities (e.g. shares, bonds), or via investment in potentially complex financial products (e.g. funds, structured products, insurance-based investment products) or a
combination thereof.
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when considering asset classes or sub-asset classes offering the optimal exposure for the retail investor?  5000 character(s) maximum
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when considering asset classes or sub-asset classes offering the optimal exposure for the retail investor?
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when considering asset classes or sub-asset classes offering the optimal exposure for the retail investor?  5000 character(s) maximum
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when considering asset classes or sub-asset classes offering the optimal exposure for the retail investor?  5000 character(s) maximum
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when considering asset classes or sub-asset classes offering the optimal exposure for the retail investor?  5000 character(s) maximum
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when considering asset classes or sub-asset classes offering the optimal exposure for the retail investor?  5000 character(s) maximum
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when considering asset classes or sub-asset classes offering the optimal exposure for the retail investor?  5000 character(s) maximum
How should a financial intermediary assess best value-for-money when considering asset classes or sub-asset classes offering the optimal exposure for the retail investor?  5000 character(s) maximum

Please explain your answer to question 14 and provide details on the

Question 16. The rules on the asset allocation strategy should allow for the establishment of asset classes that are fit to achieve the investment objectives of retail investors.

How should those rules take into account situations where the investment intermediary wishes to offer products that do not fit into one of the common asset categories?

- Where the intermediary proves that the risk, return and correlation properties of the product are equivalent to those attributed to one of the established asset classes, he/she can consider that instrument as belonging to that asset class
- Such products should only be made available to the investor at his or her explicit request, and not as a part of the investable universe determined by the asset allocation strategy
- Other solutions

# Please explain your answer to question 16:

2	5000 character(s) maximum				
in	including spaces and line breaks, i.e. st	tricter than the MS W	ord characters counting	g method.	

Question 17. Although the form and content of the asset allocation strategy should be prescribed to a certain extent, financial intermediaries will always exercise a degree of discretion when establishing the asset allocation for a given investor. Competition between financial intermediaries in establishing an optimal asset allocation strategy for a given set of client data could yield better quality asset allocation propositions for the client. On the other hand, changing without objective reasons the investment guidance set out by the asset allocation strategy should be avoided in order to ensure that his or her investment goals are attained.

Should a financial intermediary other than the one that drew up the client assessment be able to propose a different asset allocation strategy than the one originally established, where the data required to produce the asset allocation strategy are made available to that financial intermediary?

- Yes, but only when there are objective reasons (see notably (b) and (c) in question 9.1 and 9.2 respectively.)
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

### Please explain your answer to question 17:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 17.1 Should the investor be required to give explicit consent for the development of a new asset allocation strategy?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

# Please explain your answer to question 17.1:

5000 character(s) maximum

Question 18. Would you have any general comments on an enhanced client assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters of	ounting method.
assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
assessment regime and/or personalised asset allocation strategy?  Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	Question 18. Would you have any general commer	nts on an enhanced client
Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	assessment regime and/or personalised asset alloc	ation strategy?
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	-	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable  Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	No	
Please explain your answer to question 18:  5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	Please explain your answer to question 18:	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.		
		ounting method
Additional information	indicating spaces and line broaks, i.e. stricter than the we word characters of	ounting method.
Additional information		
	Additional information	

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) below. Please make sure you do not include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.

The maximum file size is 1 MB.

You can upload several files.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

#### **Useful links**

More on this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-suitability-appropriateness-assessments\_en)

Consultation document (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-suitability-appropriateness-assessments-consultation document\_en)

More on retail financial services (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance/consume finance-and-payments/retail-financial-services\_en)

Specific privacy statement (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-suitability-appropriateness-assessments-specific-privacy-statement\_en)

More on the Transparency register (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do?locale=en)

#### Contact

fisma-suitability-assessments@ec.europa.eu